
John Goss, Director



R. 4 E. R. 5 E.

Division of Water

R. 2 E. R. 3 E.

R. 3 E. R. 4 E.

The three forks of the Blue River (West Fork, Middle Fork, and South Fork) all carried pre-Wisconsin outwash. However, the valley filling was not significant or has been mostly eroded over time. In many locations these streams run on bedrock, and bedrock outcrops along their banks. The South Fork Blue River has small isolated terraces of thin sand and gravel, mixed with clay. However, these deposits are too thin to be included in the Alluvial, Lacustrine, and Backwater Deposits Aquifer System.

The total thickness of unconsolidated deposits (mostly clay and silt) in this aquifer system varies considerably, from about 25 feet to more than 50 feet. The thickness of permeable sand or gravel zones is typically less than 5 feet, but in a few isolated places may exceed 10 feet. Most of the permeable zones are fine-grained sand. The overall scarcity of productive zones of sand and gravel in this aquifer system is apparent from the number of water wells completed in the underlying bedrock aquifers. Very few data are available. However, it is expected that many wells drilled in this system (especially bucket-rig wells) may yield sufficient water for domestic needs.

This aquifer system is marked by thick deposits of soft silt and clay that have low

Pre-Wisconsin Drift Aquifer System

The Pre-Wisconsin Drift Aquifer System is present in one small area in northeastern Washington County. The unconsolidated deposits overlying bedrock consist of dominantly pre-Wisconsin glacial materials that range in thickness from 30 to more than 95 feet. Very few data points are available for this aquifer system in the county, thus boundaries with other aquifer systems are transitional and largely based on surface topography. In places, this system is also covered by younger lacustrine deposits of Wisconsin age.

In Washington County this aquifer system is expected to be a better resource than the Dissected Till and Residuum Aguifer System. Potential aguifer materials within the glacial till include discontinuous intratill sand and gravel units. The Division of Water has only one water well record for this system, and the well driller described a seam of gravel 8 feet thick. Typical well yields would be expected to be sufficient for domestic uses.

The Pre-Wisconsin Drift Aquifer System has a low susceptibility to surface contamination because intratill sand and gravel aquifers in this system are generally overlain by fine-grained material (till), loess, and/or lacustrine deposits.

White River and Tributaries Outwash Aquifer System

The White River and Tributaries Outwash Aquifer System occupies the valley of the East Fork White River and portions of the Muscatatuck River Valley in Washington County. This system contains large volumes of sand and gravel that filled the main river valleys. As the glaciers melted (far upstream), the sediment contained within them was delivered to these valleys in quantities too large for the streams to transport. As a result, the increased sediment load was stored in the valleys as vertical and lateral accretionary deposits. As long as the retreating glaciers continued to provide sediment in quantities too large for the streams to transport, the main valleys continued to be filled. This valley-filling process formed the most prolific aquifer system in the county.

Based on only two water well records and limited seismic data, the unconsolidated deposits (mostly sand and gravel) of this system in the East Fork White River valley are known to be up to 85 feet thick. West of the confluence of the Muscatatuck River, little or no clay is present above the sand and gravel. It is likely most of the sand and gravel is saturated, because the ground water level is expected to be 5 to 15 feet below the land surface. The two wells completed in this system are for the town of Campbellsburg. The wells are 61 and 65 feet deep and penetrate 49 to 53 feet of saturated aquifer materials and wells yield about 350 gallons per minute (gpm).

The Muscatatuck River valley was blocked by valley-train outwash deposits of the East Fork White River during Wisconsin glacial events. This resulted in a thick accumulation of fine-grained sediments (silt, clay, and fine-grained sand) over the pre-Wisconsin sediments in the Muscatatuck valley. Because few water well records are available, the boundaries of this portion of the system are somewhat subjective. The system is limited to the deepest part of the bedrock valley. Seismic data indicate the unconsolidated material exceeds 100 feet in thickness in Jackson County; however, it is generally less than 75 feet thick in Washington County. Below as much as 40 or 50 feet of silt and clay, in the deepest part of the bedrock valley, are likely relatively thick sand and gravel outwash deposits of pre-Wisconsin age.

The elevation of the modern Muscatatuck River floodplain is approximately 525 feet m.s.l. upstream where the river enters Washington County and approximately 515 feet m.s.l. downstream at its confluence with the East Fork White River. The elevation of the floodplain where the East Fork White River leaves the county is approximately 505 feet m.s.l. The elevation of the bottom of the aquifer system, in the deepest parts of the bedrock valley, may range from 430 to 415 feet m.s.l.

The White River and Tributaries Outwash Aquifer System is by far the most productive in Washington County and has the potential to consistently meet the needs of high-capacity water users. Large-diameter well yields of 300 to 1500 gpm have been obtained in this system in other counties. The system could support considerably more development than is utilized by the existing facilities. The only registered significant ground-water facility in the county currently using this aquifer system is the town of Campbellsburg.

This aguifer system is highly susceptible to contamination from surface sources in areas that lack overlying clay layers, especially west of the confluence of the Muscatatuck and East Fork White Rivers. However, where this system is overlain by thick layers of clay or silt, such as in the Muscatatuck River valley, it is only moderately susceptible to surface contamination.

White River and Tributaries Outwash Aquifer Subsystem

This aquifer system (subsystem) is generally located adjacent to and parallel to the White River and Tributaries Outwash Aquifer System. It typically occupies a higher topographic position and has considerably thinner sand and gravel units than the main outwash aquifer system. Commonly the sand and gravel is covered by a layer of clay, till, lacustrine, or loess deposits. The boundaries of this portion of the system are very subjective. Because of a lack of water well data, the system boundaries are based primarily on limited seismic data. This system occupies a very small portion of the northeastern corner of Washington County.

This system is not expected to be as productive as the White River and Tributaries Outwash Aquifer System. Based on well data from nearby counties, well yields in this subsystem would be expected to be sufficient for most domestic uses. Larger diameter wells in the thickest sand and gravel may yield 70 to 300 gpm.

In Washington County the subsystem is not very susceptible to surface contamination because of moderately thick units of clay and silt overlying the water-bearing sand



Resources, Division of Water using data believed to be reasonably accurate.

However, a degree of error is inherent in all maps. This product is distributed

"as is" without warranties of any kind, either expressed or implied. This map

Systems coverage (Grove, 2004) was based on a 1:24,000 scale.

is intended for use only at the published scale.



**Unconsolidated Aquifer Systems of Washington County, Indiana** 

Glenn E. Grove Division of Water, Resource Assessment Section

scale. Populated Areas in Indiana 2000 (polygon shapefile, 20021000) was from the U.S. Census Bureau and based on a 1:100,000 scale. Streams27 (line shapefile, 20000420) was from the Center for Advanced Applications in GIS at Purdue University. Large-Scale DLG Hypsography data (line shapefile, various dates) was from the US Geological Survey and based on a 1:24,000 scale. Managed Areas 96 (polygon shapefile, various dates) was from IDNR. Unconsolidated Aquifer March 2004